

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

an.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/664,615 09/19/2003 7590 06/08/2004		Shunpei Yamazaki	0553-0200.01	4023	
				EXAM	EXAMINER	
	Edward D. Ma	anzo	NGUYEN, KHIEM D			
	Cook, Alex, Mo	cFarron, Manzo,				
	Cummings & Mehler, Ltd. 200 West Adams St., Ste. 2850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2823		
	Chicago, IL 6	60606		DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
	·		15	SHUNPEI YAMAZAKI				
Office Action Summary		Examine	•	Art Unit				
			Nguyen	2823				
	orrespondenc address							
	Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO   - Failure - Any re	IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 iX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing I patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 S	<u> 2003</u> .						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th							
3)□	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>								
1	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☒ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
							Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	
1								
1	Application Papers  9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
						12)□ T		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[∑	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/619,732</u>.</li> </ul>								
						<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
l	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application							
a)	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).								
2) Notice 3) Inform	Patent Application (PTO-152)							

Application/Control Number: 10/664,615

Art Unit: 2823

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-40 of U. S. Patent No. 6,541,294 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: A semiconductor device comprising a pixel TFT disposed in a pixel section and a driver circuit comprising a p-channel TFT and an n-channel TFT disposed in a periphery of the pixel section, over a substrate.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,615

Art Unit: 2823

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Khiem D Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1865. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 AM - 5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432

for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

K.N.

June 2, 2004

W. DAVID COLEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3